

UNITED STORES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			ATES	r 1 y	vasningtor	1, D.C. 20231	SKN)	
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTO	OR .		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/000,3	66 01/28	/98 HO	ASHI		М	HOASHI=2	
Γ		•		IM62/0824	٦ .[•	EXAMINER	
	BROWDY AND NEIMARK						BECKER, D	
	419 SEVE	NTH STREET	NW	• •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGT	ON DC 20004				176	•	
	•	•				DATE MAILED:	: 08/24/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Drew Becker

Application No. **09/000,366**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 1761

Hoashi et al

Advisory Action

TH	IE PE	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) [g expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date deter	extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The con which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be lated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appe perio	ellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any old for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap but	plica t is N	nt's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Aug 5, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The	proposed amendment(s):
	X v	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	□ \	will not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	N	OTE:
	X A	
	X A	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	New sepa	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. Ty proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a urate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition illowance because: prior art rejections of the previous action are still relied upon. Katoh et al (col 8, I 9) and JP 60-70049 both teach
	New separate of the metal of the The	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because:
□ X	Newsepa The for a The met.	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections of amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a linear, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The rejection in request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: The rejections of the previous action are still relied upon. Katoh et al (col 8, 19) and JP 60-70049 both teach thous of forming kamaboko. JP 60-70049 teach the advantage of grinding frozen ground fish meat (pg 2, para 5). The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment.
□ X	Newsepa The for a The metter the For I	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. If y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a lirate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition illowance because: prior art rejections of the previous action are still relied upon. Katoh et al. (col. 8, 1.9) and JP 60-70049 both teach hods of forming kamaboko. JP 60-70049 teach the advantage of grinding frozen ground fish meat (pg. 2, para 5). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. burposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
□ X	Newsepa The for a The met.	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: prior art rejections of the previous action are still relied upon. Katoh et al. (col. 8, 1.9) and JP 60-70049 both teach thods of forming kamaboko. JP 60-70049 teach the advantage of grinding frozen ground fish meat (pg. 2, para 5). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ns allowed:
□ X	Newsepa The for a The met. The the Clair Clair	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: prior art rejections of the previous action are still relied upon. Katch et al (col 8, 19) and JP 60-70049 both teach hods of forming kamaboko. JP 60-70049 teach the advantage of grinding frozen ground fish meat (pg 2, para 5). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ans allowed: Ins objected to:
	Newsepa The for a The met. The the life Clair Clair Clair	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. The proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: prior art rejections of the previous action are still relied upon. Katoh et al. (col. 8, 1.9) and JP 60-70049 both teach thods of forming kamaboko. JP 60-70049 teach the advantage of grinding frozen ground fish meat (pg. 2, para 5). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ns allowed:
	New sepa The for a The met. The the Clair Clair The	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. If y proposed or amended claims
	New sepa The for a The met. The the Clair Clair The	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections made under 35 USC 112 (1st and 2nd) have been overcome by the amendment. If y proposed or amended claims